

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. .09/892,981 06/27/2001 Roland Gerritsen van der Hoop 01722906 3783 EXAMINER 02/09/2004 Joseph A. Mahoney HUI, SAN MING R Mayer, Brown & PlaTT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 2828 Chicago, IL 60690 1617

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/892,981	VAN DER HOOP, ROLAND GERRITSEN
	Examin r	Art Unit
	San-ming Hui	1617
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a virial rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a name places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .		
Claim(s) objected to: None.		
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,7-29,45,47 and 51-73.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	<u>Z</u> .1 .
10. Other:	W.	Edmandh
	SREEN SUPERVISO	II PADMANABHAN RY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action Part of Paper No. 01262004

Application No. 009/892,981

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments filed December 16, 2003 recited the specific route of administration of the hormonal products, which is raised new issue and consideration for the examiner..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As the proposed amendments filed December 16 2003 is not entered, the rejections in the previous office action remain.